BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

Original Application No. 44 of 2016 And

Original Application No. 517 of 2015 (M.A. No. 1247 of 2015 & M.A. No. 1288 of 2015) And Original Application No. 550 of 2015 (M.A. No. 1311 of 2015)

IN THE MATTER OF:

Mushtakeem Vs. MoEF & CC & Ors. And

Sandeep Kumar Vs. Ministry of Environment & Forest, & CC & Ors. And

Virender Kumar Vs. Ministry of Environment, Forests & Climate Change & Ors.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SWATANTER KUMAR, CHAIRPERSON HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DR. JAWAD RAHIM, JUDICIAL MEMBER HON'BLE DR. D.K. AGRAWAL, EXPERT MEMBER HON'BLE MR. BIKRAM SINGH SAJWAN, EXPERT MEMBER

Original Applic	ation No. 44 of 2016	
Present:	Applicant :	Mr. Vikram Singh Punia, Adv.
	Respondent No. 1:	Mr. Balendu Shekhar, Mr. Akshay Abrol, Mr. Eishan
	. 7/	and Mr. Akash, Advs
	Respondent No. 2 to 11:	Ms. Savitri Pandey, Adv and Mr. Ankit Verma, Adv.
		Mr. Anil Grover, AAG and Mr. Rahul Khurana,
		Adv.
	Respondent No. 26:	Mr. Shaurya Sharma, Advs
	Respondent No. 27:	Mr. Abhay Batra and Mr. Sidhartha Bamlha,
		Advs.
	Respondent No. 28:	Mr. Mukesh Gupta, Adv.
	Respondent No. 29 & 30:	Mr. Tarun Singla, Adv.
	-	Mr. Virender Singh, Adv.
	0 1	Mr. Attin Shankar Rastogi, Adv. MoEF
	12	
Original Application No. 517 of 2015		
Present:	Applicant :	Mr. Suraj Prakash Singh, Adv.
	Respondent No. 1:	Mr. Balendu Shekhar, Mr. Akshay Abrol,
	A V. GA	Mr. Eishan Advs.
	Respondent Nos. 2 to 6:	Mr. Anil Grover, AAG and Mr. Rahul Khurana, Adv.
	Respondent No. 7:	Ms. Savitiri Pandey, Adv.
	Respondent No. 8:	Mr Pinaki Misra and Mr. Ankur Mittal, Mr.Varinder
		Singh Rathore and Mr. A. Gupta, Advs.
		Mr. Ankit Verma, Adv.
		Mr. Pradeep Misra, Mr. Daleep Kr. Dhyani, Advs.
		Mr. Attin Shankar Rastogi, Adv. for MoEF
	ation No. 550 of 2015	X
Present:	Applicant:	Mr. Kapil Joshi adn Mr. Arun S. Bhati, Advs.
	Respondent Nos. 2 to 6:	Mr. Anil Grover, AAG and Mr. Rahul Khurana, Adv.
	Respondent No. 8:	Mr Pinaki Misra and Mr. Ankur Mittal, Mr.Varinder
		Singh Rathore and Mr. A. Gupta, Advs.
		Mr. Ankit Verma, Adv.

	Mr. Ankit Verma, Adv.		
Date and	Orders of the Tribunal		
Remarks			
Item Nos.			
05 to 07	Learned counsel appearing for the MoEF prays for		
March 02, 2016	further time to comply with the directions issued by the		
	Tribunal.		

Learned counsel appearing for the various parties have pointed out the various deficiencies as well as defective policy decisions in relation to mining by different methods.

By way of last opportunity we grant one week time to MoEF to comply with the directions of the Tribunal.

Copy of the Affidavits that are now filed should be furnished to all Learned counsel appearing for the parties.

It is also contended that the State Mining Policies more often than not are frustrated by imposition of conditions in the Environmental Clearance granted by MoEF. Other practical difficulties have also been pointed out which shall be duly noticed by the Ministry's representative and the counsel. It would be appropriate to clearly state in the Affidavit the type and the number of machines permissible per Unit, as also the extent and; number of man power needed.

Let this order be personally communicated by the Learned counsel appearing for the MoEF to the Secretary of MoEF.

Learned counsel appearing for the State of Haryana as well as Miners submit that they are suffering from financial losses. The State is suffering from revenue loss because the MoEF is not clarifying its stand. The Environmental Clearances being issued are not in consonance with law and on the other hand the proceedings before the Tribunal are being adjourned on their request from time to time. This is third time that the MoEF has asked for adjournment.



